

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SV

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•.							
	APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/061,963		02/01/2002		Martin Steinwender	20496-321	8756		
	21890	21890 7590 01/14/2004				EXAMINER		
	PROSKAUE				SAFAVI, MICHAEL			
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1585 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
					3673			

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/061,963	STEINWENDER, MARTIN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		M. Safavi	3673	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	:
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>uly 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		:
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-20 and 23-37</u> is/are pending	in the application.		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-37 is/are withdraw			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10,12-20,23 and 24 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
* 5	 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage	:
13)□ <i>A</i> s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification o	e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet.	
14)□ <i>F</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti eference was included in the first sentence of the	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 ne specification or in an Application	and/or 121 since a specific on Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	
Attachmen	at(s)	_		
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3673 °

Below, find an Office action supplemental to the Office action of October 08, 2003. The shortened statutory period for response is set to expire 3 months from the date of this communication.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 13, 20, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13, to what, specifically, does "different layers of capsules" refer? Do "different layers of capsules" form part of the claimed invention? Or, is claim 13 merely reciting an adhesive with no particular relationship to the invention defined by claim 1?

It is not clear as to what is being defined by the language of claim 21. Does the invention defined by claim 21 possess a structural component made of cellulose-containing material or not?

Does the invention defined by claim 21 possess a structural component made of metal or not?

Does the invention defined by claim 21 possess a structural component made of plastic or not?

Or, does claim 21 merely present conditional language?

Claim 24, line 3, what, specifically, is being defined by "said at least one of joint face"?

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12-17, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roesch et al. Roesch et al. discloses, Figs. 1-3, a joint between two components utilizing a multi-component adhesive which adhesive can take the form of a matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 1, as well as layers of matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 2, as well as application of two different types of micro capsules possessing different materials of the reaction adhesive system. The capsules are distributed as a layer along an upper surface or upper portion of the matrix as defined by Roesch et al. at lines 18-24 and 60-65 of col. 9 which teaches applying the adhesive in the form of a matrix having the micro capsules distributed along a layer thereof.

Art Unit: 3673

5. Claims 1-10, 12-17, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marinelli. Marinelli discloses, Figs. 1-3, a joint between two components utilizing a multi-component adhesive which adhesive can take the form of a layer of matrix 29/30 containing micro capsules 28. Layers of the matrix 29/30, (such as upper most layer and lower most layer do not possess microspheres with the microspheres lying in the central portion of the matrix. The matrix contains at least one element of the reaction adhesive system with the capsules containing at least a second element of the reaction adhesive system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-10, 12-20, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Nemeth or German reference 297,03,963 in view of Roesch et al.

Nemeth discloses, Fig. 9, utilization of adhesive within a joint between two components of a floor covering. German reference '963 discloses, Figs. 1 and 2, utilization of adhesive within a joint between two components of a floor covering.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/061,963

Art Unit: 3673

Roesch et al. discloses, Figs. 1-3, a joint between two components utilizing a multi-component adhesive which adhesive can take the form of a matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 1, as well as layers of matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 2, as well as application of two different types of micro capsules possessing different materials of the reaction adhesive system. The capsules are distributed as a layer along an upper surface or upper portion of the matrix as defined by Roesch et al. at lines 18-24 and 60-65 of col. 9 which teaches applying the adhesive in the form of a matrix having the micro capsules distributed along a layer thereof.

To have applied any of the multi-component adhesive systems disclosed in Roesch et al. within the floor covering connections of either of Nemeth or German reference '963, thus taking advantage of any of various well known multi-component adhesives, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-10, 12-20, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Nemeth or German reference 297,03,963 in view of Marinelli.

Nemeth discloses, Fig. 9, utilization of adhesive within a joint between two components of a floor covering. German reference '963 discloses, Figs. 1 and 2, utilization of adhesive within a joint between two components of a floor covering.

Marinelli discloses, Figs. 1-3, a joint between two components utilizing a multicomponent adhesive which adhesive can take the form of a layer of matrix 29/30 containing micro capsules 28. Layers of the matrix 29/30, (such as upper most layer and lower most layer do

Art Unit: 3673

not possess microspheres with the microspheres lying in the central portion of the matrix. The matrix contains at least one element of the reaction adhesive system with the capsules containing at least a second element of the reaction adhesive system.

To have applied any of the multi-component adhesive systems disclosed in Marinelli within the floor covering connections of either of Nemeth or German reference '963, thus taking advantage of any of various well known multi-component adhesives, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

9. Claims 10, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marinelli in view of Hilbelink et al.

Marinelli discloses, Figs. 1-3, a joint between two components utilizing a multicomponent adhesive which adhesive can take the form of a layer of matrix 29/30 containing
micro capsules 28. Layers of the matrix 29/30, (such as upper most layer and lower most layer do
not possess microspheres with the microspheres lying in the central portion of the matrix. The
matrix contains at least one element of the reaction adhesive system with the capsules containing
at least a second element of the reaction adhesive system.

Hilbelink et al. discloses utilization of various adhesive systems including two component adhesive systems having one and a second component encapsulated as well as one component encapsulated and a second component within a matrix within which the encapsulated component is contained.

Art Unit: 3673

To have provided the adhesive system of Marinelli with matrix 29/30 containing capsules possessing one component of the reaction adhesive as well as capsules possessing a second component of the reaction adhesive, thus allowing a uniform dispersion of the components of the Marinelli adhesive system, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as taught by Hilbelink et al.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed July 09, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Roesch et al. does teach application of a matrix having the micro capsules distributed along a layer thereof as is set forth in the above rejections. As for the rejection involving either of Nemeth or German reference 297,03,963, Roesch et al. provides the teaching of forming a joint, (tongue and groove), with a multi-component adhesive system utilizing micro capsules.
- 11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3673

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354